

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/608,682 | 06/29/2000 | 9011 2411 | | | |
| 26890 75 JAMES M. STO | 90 02/01/2007 VFR | EXAMINER | | | |
| NCR CORPORA | TION | RUDY, ANDREW J | | | |
| 1700 SOUTH PA DAYTON, OH 4 | ATTERSON BLVD, WHO 5479 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | 3627 | | | |
| <u> </u> | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | | |
| 3 MON | THS | 02/01/2007 | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| Office Action Summary | | Applica | tion No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|--------|--|--|--|
| | | 09/608, | 682 | HOOD, GEORGE ROBERT | | | | |
| | | Examin | er | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | Joseph Rudy | 3627 | | | | |
| Period for l | The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply | ation appears on t | he cover sheet with the (| correspondence ac | ldress | | | |
| WHICH - Extension after SIX - If NO pe - Failure to Any repl | RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA one of time may be available under the provisions of the first from the mailing date of this community of the provision of the maximum status or reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period fo | ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. Itory period will apply and III, by statute, cause the a | THIS COMMUNICATION Event, however, may a reply be ting will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | • | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ R | esponsive to communication(s) filed | on 15 September | · 2005. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| ′= | ,— | | | | | | | |
| · · | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition | of Claims | · | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ C | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-81</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| · — | Claim(s) <u>1-81</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) <u></u> Cl | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application | Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)∏ Th | e specification is objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority und | ler 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) <u></u> Ac a)∏ | knowledgment is made of a claim fo All b)☐ Some * c)⊡ None of: | r foreign priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| <i>′</i> — | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See | the attached detailed Office action | for a list of the ce | tified copies not receive | ed. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| | f References Cited (PTO-892) |)_Q48) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | 5) Notice of Informal P | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/608,682 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's Appeal Brief filed September 15, 2005 has been reviewed. The previous rejection is withdrawn pursuant thereto.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the John R. Johnson article titled "Raising Relationships II" published June 1999.

 Johnson discloses on page 32, column 2, a "Five-part profitability" that is disclosed as having been delivered during the week of August 24, 1998 (see footnote 1). Official Notice is taken that a risk provision comprising an expected future loss that arises from one or more risk factors and predicting expected future losses at an account level has been common knowledge in the financial processing art. Further the dependent claim limitations are deemed to have been common knowledge in the art at least one year prior to Applicant's filing date. To have provided such for Johnson would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. It is noted that footnote 1 is a result of an NCR presentation. The full scope of what was presented during the contents related to footnote 1 and any other related pertinent information is requested.

Application/Control Number: 09/608,682 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

3. Applicant's REMARKS from the Brief have been reviewed, but are moot in light of the new grounds of rejection.

- 4. A further reference of interest is noted on the attached PTO-892.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan M. Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 4

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627